

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/038,414 01/03/2002		Boris Bronfin	MM4501	7049
	1109 75	90 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
		KILL & OLICK, P.C.		IP, SIKYIN	
	1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK,, NY 10020-1182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{V}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/038,414	BRONFIN ET AL.				
navious y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikyin Ip	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requestee application in condition for allowance becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		·			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent an	d Trade	mark Office
PTOL-303	(Rev.	11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6,21-26 and 29-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The dependence of claims 22, 25, and 32 are unclear. The limitation is claim 38 is already in amended claim 1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in final rejection. The instant examples in attached Tables fail to show claimed elements are critical from end-point to end-point and are not submitted in 132 declaration format. moreover, the limitations in amended claims 42 and 43 have no literal support from the specification as originally filed.